



IFW

PATENT
Attorney Docket No. 915-007.051

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: :
D. AKOPIAN :
Serial No.: **10/693,260** : Art Unit: **2611**
Filed: **October 24, 2003** : Examiner: **Emmanuel BAYARD**
For: ***Determination of the Code Phase Between a Code Modulated
Signal and a Replica Code Sequence***

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REVISED INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Sir:

Applicants submit herewith references of which they are aware, which they believe may be material to the examination of this application and in respect of which they may have a duty to disclose in accordance with 37 CFR §1.56.

While this Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) may be "material" pursuant to 37 CFR §1.56(b), it is not intended to constitute an admission that any document referred to herein is "prior art" for this invention unless specifically designated as such.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on this date, **November 2, 2007**, in an envelope with sufficient postage as first-class mail addressed to the Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



Lissette Ramos


In accordance with 37 CFR §1.97(g), the filing of this IDS shall not be construed to mean that a search has been made or that no other material information as defined under 37 CFR §1.56(a) exists.

Enclosed is a copy of an International Search Report dated January 27, 2003 issued in International Patent Application No. PCT/IB02/04421 (filed on October 24, 2002), from which the present application claims priority. The relevance of each reference is either specifically explained in the Search Report or in the application specification, or is otherwise considered to be relevant.

Further, enclosed is a Form PTO-1449 listing the cited references. Copies of the cited non-US references are also enclosed herewith (pursuant to the waiver of the USPTO requirement to submit copies of U.S. patent references). The abstract of each reference provides a concise explanation thereof.

This IDS is being submitted prior to receipt of a first Official Action in this matter; therefore, the undersigned respectfully submits that no fee is due for filing this IDS. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge to deposit account 23-0442 any fee deficiency required to submit this IDS.

Respectfully submitted,


Alfred A. Fressola
Attorney for the Applicant
Registration No. 27,550

Dated: November 2, 2007

WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS
& ADOLPHSON LLP
Bradford Green, Building Five
755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224
Monroe, Connecticut 06468
Telephone: (203) 261-1234
Facsimile: (203) 261-5676
USPTO Customer No. 004955



PATENT
Attorney Docket No. 915-007.051

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application Of:

David AKOPIAN

: Confirmation No.: **9082**

Application Serial No.: **10/693,260**

: Art Unit: **2611**

Filing Date: **October 24, 2003**

: Examiner: **Emmanuel BAYARD**

Title: ***Determination of the Code Phase Between a Code Modulated Signal and a Replica Code Sequence***


Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Mail Stop: **ISSUE FEE**
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

LETTER

Sir:

The enclosed Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) is a replacement of the IDS mailed to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on April 27, 2004 in which the Office indicated in the Notice of Allowability failed to fully comply with 37 CFR §1.98(a)(1). Applicant was unaware that the IDS was not in compliance as the PTO-1449 was initialed by the Examiner and a copy of the initialed PTO-1449 form was attached to the first Official Action received regarding this matter.

I hereby certify that this communication is being deposited with the United States Postal Service today, **November 2, 2007**, in an envelope with sufficient postage as first-class mail addressed to the Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



Lissette Ramos

The enclosed revised IDS is identical to that submitted on April 27, 2004 except that in the PTO-1449 the second item identified in the "Other Documents" section now includes the relevant pages of the publication. Such correction is made herein. None of the publications identified in the "Other Documents" section are enclosed herewith since they were all filed with the original IDS on April 27, 2004.

Applicant's attorney would like to thank Examiner Bayard for his helpful comments made during a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney on November 2, 2007 at which time Examiner Bayard indicated that the statement made at page 2 of the Notice of Allowability should have identified the IDS as being filed on April 29, 2004 (rather than April 29, 2007) and that the specific non-compliance concerning 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1) was that item 2 (the article by P.H. Dana) did not identify the relevant pages of said article. Although all twelve pages are mentioned in the original PTO-1449, the enclosed replacement IDS and PTO-1449 indicates that all 12 pages are relevant.

Examiner Bayard indicated that this was the only remaining issue concerning the IDS filed on May 27, 2004. It is therefore respectfully submitted that the revised IDS is in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1) and that the information referred to therein should be indicated as considered by the Office.

It is believed that no fee is required for this resubmission of the IDS. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge to deposit account 23-0442 any fee deficiency required to submit this IDS.

Respectfully submitted,



Alfred A. Fressola
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 27,550

Dated: November 2, 2007

WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS
& ADOLPHSON LLP
Bradford Green, Building Five
755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224
Monroe, CT 06468
Telephone: (203) 261-1234
Facsimile: (203) 261-5676
USPTO Customer No. 004955